IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2191 OF 2004

Shakeel Ahmed Mohamed Saeed Ansari ... Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

...

Mr. H.A. Solkar, for the Applicant.

Mr. A.S. Gadkari, A.P.P., for the Respondent.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.

26th October 2004

P.C.:

. Heard Counsel for the parties. Perused the record. I find no reason to depart from the conclusion reached by the Court below in rejecting the bail application preferred by the Applicant. The statements of Ali Peshkhan Jaidulla Khan, Smt. Sugra Mohammed Hussain Siddi and Shaikh Nafeez Mumtaj Ali disclose that the Applicant was staying in Room No. 309 from where the contraband article was recovered. The statements also disclose that around the time

when the police were to raid the premises, the Applicant locked the room and went away. At this stage, it is not possible to accept the contention advanced before this Court that the Applicant has been falsely implicated in view of the overwhelming materials collected during the investigation. Not a case for grant of bail. Rejected. The trial Court is, however, directed to expedite the case.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.)